

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/706,408	TAKEYASU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John A. Ward	2875	

All Participants:

(1) John A. Ward.

(2) William L. Androlia.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 October 2004

Time: 1328

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4-6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To amend the claims to delete the words "unit-type" to overcome a possible 112 2nd. claim objection.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)